Case 4:20-cr-00317-JSW Document 601 Filed 03/17/23 Page 1 of **FILE D** 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

MAR 17 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United States of America,	) Case No. $20-317-JSW-7$
Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME ) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
lace Ochac	
Jose Ochoa Defendant(s).	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record Trial Act from $3/17/23$ to $3/28/3$ continuance outweigh the best interest of the publes $3161(h)(7)(A)$ . The court makes this finding and	on 3/17/23, the court excludes time under the Speedy 23 and finds that the ends of justice served by the ic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance wou See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ld be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the	ex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of e prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial shed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance wou taking into account the exercise of	ald deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance wou counsel's other scheduled case con See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)	ald unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mmitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance wou necessary for effective preparation See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)	ald unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time a, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the operagraph and — based on the parties the time limits for a preliminary hextending the 30-day time period	, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first ties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending earing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the ed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	La dis Willed
DATED: 3/11/23	Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
	Du Tala /
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney